## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,                     | : Case No. 3:15-cr-104                            |
|---|---|
| vs.   | : Judge Timothy S. Black                          |
| FESUM OGBAZION,                               | :<br>:  |
| Defendant.                                    | :<br>:  |
| VERDI   | CT FORM   |
| As to Count 2 of the Superseding Ind          | lictment, charging conspiracy to commit wire      |
| fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, WE,  | THE JURY, find the defendant <b>FESUM</b>         |
| OGBAZION:                                     |   |
| GUILTY: X                                     | NOT GUILTY:                                       |
| As to <b>Count 3</b> of the Superseding Ind   | lictment, charging wire fraud, in violation of 18 |
| U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Transmission Date – Ja    | nuary 26, 2011; Wire Transmission Amount –        |
| \$1,262,197; Deposit to ICS prepaid card serv | vicing account at National Bank of Commerce)      |
| WE, THE JURY, find the defendant <b>FESUM</b> | 1 OGBAZION:                                       |
| GUILTY: X                                     | NOT GUILTY:                                       |
| As to <b>Count 4</b> of the Superseding Ind   | ictment, charging wire fraud, in violation of 18  |
| U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Transmission Date – Ja    | nuary 28, 2011; Wire Transmission Amount –        |
| \$1,906,199; Deposit to ICS prepaid card serv | vicing account at National Bank of Commerce),     |
| WE, THE JURY, find the defendant FESUM        | I OGBAZION:                                       |
| GUILTY: X                                     | NOT GUILTY:                                       |

| As to Count 5 of the Superseding Indictment, charging wire fraud, in violation of 18        |
|---|
| U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Transmission Date – January 31, 2011; Wire Transmission Amount –        |
| \$17,740,900; Deposit to ICS prepaid card servicing account at National Bank of             |
| Commerce), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant <b>FESUM OGBAZION</b> :                         |
| GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:   |
| As to Count 6 of the Superseding Indictment, charging wire fraud, in violation of 18        |
| U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Transmission Date – February 7, 2011; Wire Transmission Amount –        |
| \$4,000,000; Deposit to ICS prepaid card servicing account at National Bank of Commerce)    |
| WE, THE JURY, find the defendant <b>FESUM OGBAZION</b> :                                    |
| GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:   |
| As to <b>Count 7</b> of the Superseding Indictment, charging wire fraud, in violation of 18 |
| U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Transmission Date – February 11, 2011; Wire Transmission Amount -       |
| \$898,615; Deposit to ICS prepaid card servicing account at National Bank of Commerce),     |
| WE, THE JURY, find the defendant <b>FESUM OGBAZION</b> :                                    |
| GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:   |
| As to Count 8 of the Superseding Indictment, charging money laundering, in                  |
| violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(A)(ii) (Wire Transmission Date – January 31, 2011;      |
| Wire Transmission Amount – \$149,800; Transfer from ICS Account at National Bank of         |
| Commerce to Integrated Capital Account at Bank of America), WE, THE JURY, find the          |
| defendant FESUM OGBAZION:   |
| GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:   |

As to Count 9 of the Superseding Indictment, charging money laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(A)(ii) (Wire Transmission Date – January 31, 2011; of he

| Wire Transmission Amount – \$227,522;  | Transfer from ICS Account at National Bank of  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Commerce to Integrated Capital Account   | at at Bank of America), WE, THE JURY, find the |  |
| defendant FESUM OGBAZION:  |  |  |
| GUILTY:  | NOT GUILTY:                                    |  |
| As to <b>Count 10</b> of the Supersedir  | ng Indictment, charging money laundering, in   |  |
| violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(A)(i   | i) (Wire Transmission Date – February 8, 2011; |  |
| Wire Transmission Amount – \$24,734;   | Transfer from ICS Account at National Bank of  |  |
| Commerce to Integrated Capital Account at Bank of America), WE, THE JURY, find the |  |  |
| defendant FESUM OGBAZION:  |  |  |
| GUILTY:  | NOT GUILTY: X                                  |  |
| As to Count 11 of the Supersedir   | ng Indictment, charging money laundering, in   |  |
| violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(A)(i   | i) (Wire Transmission Date – February 8, 2011; |  |
| Wire Transmission Amount – \$36,867;   | Transfer from ICS Account at National Bank of  |  |
| Commerce to Integrated Capital Accoun  | at at Bank of America), WE, THE JURY, find the |  |
| defendant FESUM OGBAZION:  |  |  |
| GUILTY:  | NOT GUILTY:                                    |  |

As to **Count 12** of the Superseding Indictment, charging money laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(A)(ii) (Wire Transmission Date – February 8, 2011; Wire Transmission Amount – \$1,546,550; Transfer from ICS Account at National Bank of Commerce to Integrated Capital Account at Bank of America), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant **FESUM OGBAZION**:

| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,      | , Transfer from 100 11000ant at I tational Baint of |
|--|---|
| Commerce to Integrated Capital Account     | at Bank of America), WE, THE JURY, find the         |
| defendant FESUM OGBAZION:                  |   |
| GUILTY:                                    | NOT GUILTY: X                                       |
| As to Count 13 of the Superseding          | g Indictment, charging money laundering, in         |
| violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(A)(ii) | (Wire Transmission Date – February 15, 2011;        |
| Wire Transmission Amount – \$504,547;      | Transfer from ICS Account at National Bank of       |
| Commerce to Integrated Capital Account     | at Bank of America), WE, THE JURY, find the         |
| defendant FESUM OGBAZION:                  |   |
| GUILTY:                                    | NOT GUILTY: X                                       |
| As to <b>Count 14</b> of the Superseding   | g Indictment, charging bank fraud, in violation of  |
| 18 U.S.C. § 1344, WE, THE JURY, find t     | the defendant FESUM OGBAZION:                       |
| GUILTY: X                                  | NOT GUILTY:   |
| As to Count 15 of the Superseding          | g Indictment, charging tax evasion, in violation of |
| 26 U.S.C. § 7201, WE, THE JURY, find t     | the defendant FESUM OGBAZION:                       |
| GUILTY: X                                  | NOT GUILTY:   |
|  |   |

| In the event you have found the defendant FESUM OGBAZION guilty as to Count               |
|---|
| 15, have you unanimously found the Government has proven, beyond a reasonable doubt       |
| that an affirmative act constituting tax evasion occurred after August 25, 2009?          |
| YES: NO:  |
| As to <b>Count 16</b> of the Superseding Indictment, charging failure to pay over payroll |
| tax, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7202 (On behalf of ITS Financial; Calendar Year 2009 2nd |
| Quarter; Approximate Unpaid Payroll Tax Amount – \$161,416), WE, THE JURY, find the       |
| defendant FESUM OGBAZION:   |
| GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:   |
| In the event you have found the defendant FESUM OGBAZION guilty as to Count               |
| 16, have you unanimously found the Government has proven, beyond a reasonable doubt,      |
| that the failure to pay became or continued to be willful after August 25, 2009?          |
| YES:  |
| As to Count 17 of the Superseding Indictment, charging failure to pay over payroll        |
| tax, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7202 (On behalf of ITS Financial; Calendar Year 2009 3rd |
| Quarter; Approximate Unpaid Payroll Tax Amount – \$184,374), WE, THE JURY, find the       |
| defendant FESUM OGBAZION:   |
| GUILTY: X NOT GUILTY:   |

As to Count 18 of the Superseding Indictment, charging failure to pay over payroll tax, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7202 (On behalf of ITS Financial; Calendar Year 2009 4th ie

| Quarter; Approximate Unpaid Payroll Tax Amount – \$189,645), WE, THE JURY, find the       |
|---|
| defendant FESUM OGBAZION:   |
| GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:   |
| As to Count 19 of the Superseding Indictment, charging failure to pay over payroll        |
| tax, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7202 (On behalf of ITS Financial; Calendar Year 2010 1st |
| Quarter; Approximate Unpaid Payroll Tax Amount – \$161,276), WE, THE JURY, find the       |
| defendant FESUM OGBAZION:   |
| GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:   |
| As to Count 20 of the Superseding Indictment, charging failure to pay over payroll        |
| tax, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7202 (On behalf of TaxMate; Calendar Year 2009 2nd       |
| Quarter; Approximate Unpaid Payroll Tax Amount – \$44,325), WE, THE JURY, find the        |
| defendant FESUM OGBAZION:   |
| GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:   |
| In the event you have found the defendant FESUM OGBAZION guilty as to Count               |
| 20, have you unanimously found the Government has proven, beyond a reasonable doubt,      |
| that the failure to pay became or continued to be willful after August 25, 2009?          |
| YES: NO:  |

As to **Count 22** of the Superseding Indictment, charging failure to pay over payroll tax, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7202 (On behalf of TaxMate; Calendar Year 2009 4th Quarter; Approximate Unpaid Payroll Tax Amount – \$76,068), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant **FESUM OGBAZION**:

GUILTY: NOT GUILTY:

As to **Count 23** of the Superseding Indictment, charging failure to pay over payroll tax, in violation of 26 U.S.C. § 7202 (On behalf of TaxMate; Calendar Year 2010 1st Quarter; Approximate Unpaid Payroll Tax Amount – \$57,757), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant **FESUM OGBAZION**:

GUILTY: \_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY: \_\_\_\_\_

As to **Count 24** of the Superseding Indictment, charging conspiracy to defraud the IRS and to commit wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, WE, THE JURY, find the defendant **FESUM OGBAZION**:

GUILTY: \_\_\_\_\_ NOT GUILTY: \_\_\_\_

In the event you have found the defendant FESUM OGBAZION guilty as to Count he

| GUILTY: | NOT GUILTY: | X |  |
|---------|-------------|---|--|
|         |             |   |  |

As to Count 28 of the Superseding Indictment, charging wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Transmission Date – January 30, 2012; Wire Transmission Amount - \$55,000,000; Deposit to Tax Tree Account at Bank of America), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant FESUM OGBAZION: GUILTY: NOT GUILTY: X As to Count 29 of the Superseding Indictment, charging wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Transmission Date – February 1, 2013; Wire Transmission Amount - \$32,180,223; Deposit to Tax Tree Account at Wells Fargo), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant FESUM OGBAZION: GUILTY: NOT GUILTY: X As to Count 30 of the Superseding Indictment, charging wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Transmission Date – February 4, 2013; Wire Transmission Amount -\$13,526,262; Deposit to Tax Tree Account at Wells Fargo), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant FESUM OGBAZION: GUILTY:\_\_\_\_\_NOT GUILTY:\_\_\_\_X As to Count 31 of the Superseding Indictment, charging wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Transmission Date – February 5, 2013; Wire Transmission Amount - \$12,748,729; Deposit to Tax Tree Account at Wells Fargo), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant FESUM OGBAZION:

GUILTY:

NOT GUILTY:

As to **Count 32** of the Superseding Indictment, charging wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Transmission Date – February 6, 2013; Wire Transmission Amount – \$38,516,524; Deposit to Tax Tree Account at Wells Fargo), WE, THE JURY, find the defendant **FESUM OGBAZION**:

| GUILTY:            |      | NOT GUILTY: | X |
|--------------------|------|-------------|---|
| Dated thisday of _ | Jone | , 2017.     |   |

